					2011/12	2			2012/13				2013/14			
	Ref	Description	What is Good Performance	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Quarterly Target	Notes for Q3 2013/14
			·	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	
ENVI	RONME	NTAL SERVICES														
!	NI 191	Residual household waste per household (kg)	Lower is better	The Surre	ey Waste I	ates and the	s lobbying De	fra and the		ve been affe					85kg e counted as es should not	
Ţ	NI 192	Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting	Higher is better	44.00% Members Surrey Co with a de	37.9% noted that ounty Cou finitive list	39.53% It the recyclin ncil on a beh of which item	54.30% g infrastructu avioural char ns could be re	56.00% Ire was in plange initiative ecycled.	which would	d hopefully y	ield results. T	The Sub-Cor	nmittee also	suggested	60% hat they were that residents	be targeted
COM	MUNITY	/ SERVICES														
Ī	LLe 2a	Number of Access to Leisure cards issued	Higher is better			1,540 n higher than ncil properties								vith the Hous	325 sing departme	ent to ensure
√	LLe3	Total number of visits to Waverley leisure centres, per 1,000	Higher is better	3,125 The Sub	3,554 -Committe	13,386 ee noted tha	3,153 t the perforr	3,282	3,201 es did not s	3,529	9,636	3,435	3,342	3,432 ne leisure ce	3,425 entres, and r	Footfall: 417,290 equested
✓	LLe3 b	population Number of visits to Cranleigh Sports Centre, per 1,000 population	Higher is better	556	se reports	2,271	e membersr 534	536	5. 557	628	2,255	631	557	587	550	Footfall: 71,425
-	LLe3	Number of visits to The	Higher is	919	1022	3,932	808	836	725	783	3,152	698	712	670	800	Footfall: 81,503
1	С	Herons Sports Centre, per 1,000 population	better			ee agreed th		value for T	he Herons	be amende	d to take into	account th	ne refurbish	nment, as ha	ad been don	
✓	LLe3 d	Number of visits to The Edge Sports Centre, per 1,000 population	Higher is better	276	313	1,160	277	199	189	175	840	184	145	283	275	Footfall: 34,367
√	LLe3 e	Number of visits to Godalming Leisure Centre, per 1,000 population	Higher is better	371	390	1,527	377	593	694	808	2,472	750	809	791	650	Footfall: 96,228
√	LLe4	Visits to and Use of museums & galleries - All Visits, per 1,000	Higher is better	101.69	84.43	377.80	133.94	114.83	122.92	127.5	499.19	137.42	146.1	125.94	85	Farnham = 78.26 Godalming = 47.68

					2011/12				2012/13			2013/14				
	Ref	Description	What is Good Performance	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Quarterly Target	Notes for Q3 2013/14
			· ·	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	
√	LLe4 b	Visits to and use of Museums & galleries - Visits in Person, per 1,000 population	Higher is better	55.81	69.1	282.91	102.25	65.31	79.16	72.43	319.15	73.72	86.24	81.75	73	Footfall: Farnham = 5,096 Godalming = 4,845
PLA	NNING															
✓	NI 157a	Processing of planning applications: Major applications - % determined within 13 weeks.	Higher is better	66.67%	75.00%	67.86%	75%	81.82%	62.50%	87.50%	74.47%	60.00%	100%	100%	75%	7 out of 7 in time.
✓	NI 157b	Processing of planning applications: Minor applications - % determined within 8 weeks.	Higher is better	81.71%	82.00%	81.82%	82.34%	92.59%	82.41%	76.39%	82.13%	84.82%	93.33%	89.90	80%	89 out of 99 in time.
✓	NI 157c	Processing of planning applications: Other applications - % determined within 8 weeks	Higher is better	95.20%	92.00%	95.02%	98.46%	94.12%	96.43%	92.74%	95.27%	97.55%	96.17%	96.37	90%	372 out of 386 in time.
\triangle	New Local Pl	Processing of planning applications: All applications - % determined within 26	Higher is better		New Local Performance Indicator for 2013/14 New Local Performance Indicator for 2013/14 New Local Performance Indicator for 2013/14 of 313 (522 out of 525) s								99.39%	100%	491 out of 494 determined within time.	
	PI	weeks (cumulative)		their targ	et of 100%	e agreed that of application erformance w	ns determine	ed within 26								to achieve ed and so they
				46.3%	45.1%	45.1%	37.5%	38.5%	40.7%	40.8%	45.54%	53.9%	38.5%	36.7%	30%	18 out of 49 allowed.
Ţ	LPL1 a	Planning appeals allowed (cumulative)	Lower is better	in light of the refus	The Sub-Committee was pleased to note that performance had continued to improve over the last quarter. The Development Control Manager e in light of the NPPF's 'pro-development' stance, officers were taking a more judicious view with regard to which applications could be refused, and the refusal could be defended at appeal. It was noted that appeals were often very subjective, and Planning Committees needed to ensure that made on defensible grounds, relating to judgement rather than technical issues.								explained that and whether			
✓	New Local Pl	Major Planning Appeals allowed as % of Major Application decisions made (cumulative)	Lower is better			New Loca	al Performai	nce Indicato	or for 2013/1	4		40% (2 out of 5)	13.64%	10.34%	20%	3 major appeals allowed out of 29 decisions.
✓	LPL3 b	Percentage of enforcement cases actioned within 12	Higher is better	37.67%	30.86%	47%	42%	55.88%	64.29%	60.29%	50.32%	70.2%	68.96%	76.24%	70%	77 out of 101 resolved in time
	J	weeks of receipt.	Deliei	actioned	within 12 v	e praised the veeks had we th newer cas	ell exceeded									

					2013/14												
	Ref	Description	What is Good Performance	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Quarterly Target	Notes for Q3 2013/14	
			·	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value		
✓	LPL4	Percentage of tree applications determined within 8	Higher is better	95.00%	97.56%	93.98%	96.92%	97.5%	89.55%	97.44%	94.79%	100%	94.44%	100%	95%	52 out of 52 resolved in time.	
		weeks		The Sub-	-Committee	e recognised	d the hard wor	k of the Tree	es Team ove	r recent mo	nths and wa	s pleased to	note that the	y had achie		erformance.	
_	NI 155	Number of affordable homes delivered	Higher is better	0	24	27	8	4	39	32	83	2	0	4	No target set – aim to maximise		
	155	(gross)	Detter	build mor	The Sub-Committee noted that only 4 affordable homes had been delivered over the last quarter, however acknowledged that there was on-going work to build more affordable homes. Officers suggested that a more useful performance indicator may be to include the number of planning permissions granted new affordable homes. The Sub-Committee requested that this information be included in the notes section for future reports.												
✓	LPL5 a	Control applications	Higher is better	67.0%	63%	55%	73.1%	80.77%	87.76%	49.04%	70.73%	79.37%	63%	81%	70%	91 out of 113 applications checked within time.	
		checked within 15 days.		back to th	e Sub-Committee was pleased to note that the Head of Planning had taken Members' concerns over Building Control's poor Quarter Two performance ck to the team and that they now showed a good improvement in performance. 91 out of 113 applications had been checked within time, which was an rease from 63% in Quarter Two to 81% in Quarter Three, against a target of 70%.												
FINA	ANCE															Onto 4	
-	LI12	Housing benefits security - number of prosecutions and sanctions.	No target	5	13	25	3	1	2	3	9 (total for year)	3	1	4	No target set	Oct: 1 Prosecution 1 Adpen Nov: Nil Dec: 1 Prosecution 1 Caution	
✓	NEW NI 181a	Time taken to process Housing Benefit and Council Tax Support new claims	Lower is better			New Indic	cator to replac	e NI181 fron	n Q1 2013/14	4		19.7 days	17.0 days	20 days	20 days	Oct: 19 Nov: 16 Dec: 25	
√	NEW NI18 1b	Time taken to process Housing Benefit and Council Tax Support change events	Lower is better		New Indicator to replace NI181 from Q1 2013/14 10 days 8 days 8 days							9 days	Oct: 8 Nov: 8 Dec: 9				
√	LI5	% of invoices paid within 30 days	Higher is better	99.79%	99.81%		99.64%	99.54%	100%	99.81	99.75%	100.00%	100%	100%	99.0%		
Δ	LI5b	% of invoices from small and/or local businesses paid within 10 days	Higher is better	95.77%	94.46%		93.79%	90.79%	92.47%	94.62	92.92%	91.46%	90.1%	92.3%	95.0%	Oct: 87.4% Nov: 93.1% Dec: 92.3%	
√	Ll6a	% of Council Tax collected	Higher is better	88.2%	99.0%	99.0%	31.0%	59.8%	88.5%	99.2%	99.2%	30.7%	59.5%	88.0%	74.3% (annual target: 99.0%)		
√	Ll6b	Percentage of Non- domestic Rates	Higher is better	86.9%	98.2%	98.2%	32.5%	60.6%	88.7%	99.1%	99.1%	32.4%	61.0%	89.2%	74.3% (annual		

		2011/12 2012/13							2013/14							
	Ref	Description	What is Good Performance ?	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Quarterly Target	Notes for Q3 2013/14
				Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	
		Collected													target: 99.0%)	
!	LI8	Average annual rate of return on Council Investments above market rates	Higher is better	0.27%	0.16%	0.36%	0.15%	0.12%	0.17 (to 15/02/13)	0.87%	0.87%	0.16%	0.16%	0.17%	0.25%	Q3 reported figure is average YTD to 31/1/14
		TIC AND LEGAL														
SER	VICES LI 1a	Number of Level 3 (CEx) and Ombudsman Complaints received	No target.	14	10	51	14	12	15	14	55	10	10	15	No target set.	
-	LI 1b	Total number of complaints received	No target.	51	53	214	86	86	80	123	252	136	129	106	No target set.	
ORG	SANISA	TIONAL DEVELOPMENT														
✓	LI2	Working Days Lost Due to Sickness Absence	Lower is better	1.14	1.18	4.55	0.83	0.98	0.97	1.53	4.31	1.16	1.26	1.26	1.38	
✓	Ll2c	Staff Turnover - All leavers as a % of the average number of staff	"Goldilocks" (Not too high,	0.98%	1.94%	7.11%	4.14%	3.42%	5.71%	1.83%	15.1%	3.3%	5.6%	2.87%	2.5%	13 leavers, average 453 staff
		in a period	not too low) Although turnover is slightly higher than the target of 2.5%, this Performance Indicator has an acceptable range of staff turnover of 2% to 3% Current performance falls within this acceptable range and therefore shows a 'Green – On Target' status.									2% to 3%.				
✓	LOD1	Number of volunteering days taken through Employee Volunteer Scheme	Higher is better	100.5	22	100.5	9.5	47	28.5	9	94	57.5	69.5	117 days (Jan – Dec 2013)	100 (target for calendar year)	Q3 figure is the calendar year total (Jan – Dec 2013)
HOL	JSING S	SERVICES														
✓	LHO1 a	Percentage of estimated annual rent debit collected	Higher is better	75.00%	98.95%	98.95%	25.00%	49.00%	73%	98.89%	98.89%	24.68%	50.65%	75.06%	Q3 target: 73.95%	98.60% (annual target)
Ī	LHO1 b	Total current tenants' rent arrears as a percentage of the total estimated gross debit	Lower is better	0.93%	0.82%	0.82%	0.89%	1.66%	1.23%	1.01%	1.01%	1.08%	1.14%	1.32%	1.10%	Total arrears: £399,813
✓	LH01 c	Total former tenants rent arrears as a percentage of the total estimated gross debit	Lower is better	0.40%	0.36%	0.36%	0.37%	0.36%	0.38%	0.34%	0.34%	0.36%	0.40%	0.33%	0.50%	Former tenant arrears: £99,575
✓	LHO2 a	Percentage of tenants with more than 7 weeks arrears	Lower is better	1.58%	1.44%	1.44%	1.33%	1.60%	1.93%	2.08%	2.08%	1.93%	1.55%	1.08%	2.90%	96 tenants
✓	LHO2 b	Percentage of tenants in arrears who have been served with a Notice Seeking Possession (NoSP)	Lower is better	3.42%	3.98%		2.56%	3.07%	1.25%	2.38%	To follow	1.06%	3.64%	1.08%	2.45%	21 notices served in Q3.

					2011/12				2012/13				2013/14			
	Ref	Description	What is Good Performance ?	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Quarterly Target	Notes for Q3 2013/14
			·	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	
√	LHO2 c	Percentage of tenants evicted due to rent arrears	Lower is better	0.05%	0.04%		0.02%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%	0.06%	0.02%	0.05%	0.02%	0.05%	1 eviction in Q3.
Ţ	LHO3 a	re-let local authority housing	Lower is better	19	23	22.75	24	30	28	29	28	37	36	34	22	
I	New	Average number of working days taken to re-let 'normal void'	Lower is better				New Indicator	from Q1 201	13/14			51	47	42	20	
✓	LHO5	Housing advice service: Homelessness cases prevented per 1,000 households (Cumulative)	Higher is better	3.02	3.10	11.18	2.94	2.86 (5.8 for year to date)	2.42 (8.22 for year to date)	3.02	11.24	2.86	2.06 (4.92 to date)	2.80 (7.72 to date)	3.27	140 preventions in Q3
√	NI 156	Number of households living in temporary accommodation	Lower is better	2	2	2	4	4	3	1	1	1	4	5	10	
!	NI 158	% non-decent council homes	Lower is better			30.2%					27% tbc	26% tbc		24%	20% (Annual target)	
	LHM 2	Percentage of annual boiler services and gas safety checks undertaken on time.	Higher is better	99.99%	90.67	100%		99.82%	99.89%	99.98%	99.98%	99.73%	99.86%	100%	100%	As a31 December 2013 all due checks and services completed.
-	RR01	Responsive Repairs: How would you rate the overall service you have received?	Higher is better	Ne	w Indicato	r from Q2 2	2012/13	80% excellent 18% good 2% fair, 0.25% poor (2)	82% excellent 14% good 3% fair, 1% poor (11)	84% excellent 13% good 3% fair 1% poor (8)		86% excellent 11% good 2% fair 1% poor (8)	85% excellent 12% good 2% fair 1% poor (5)	84% excellent 13% good 1% fair 1% poor (18)	No target set.	Based on 1063 responses
-	RR02	Responsive Repairs: Was the repair completed right first time?	Higher is better	Ne	w Indicato	r from Q2 2	2012/13	97%	96%	97%		98%	97%	94%	No target set.	
-	RR03	Responsive Repairs: Were you offered an appointment that was suitable for you?	Higher is better	Ne	w Indicato	r from Q2 2	2012/13	96%	97%	97%		96%w	97%	98%	No target set.	
-	RR04	Responsive Repairs: Did the tradesperson arrive within the two-hour appointments slot?	Higher is better	New Indicator from Q2 2012/13			97%	98%	98%		97%	98%	99%	No target set.		
?	PW0 1	Planned Works: How would you rate the	Higher is better	Ne	w Indicato	r from Q4 2	2012/13			59% excellent		84% excellent	To follow	To follow	No target set.	

				2011/12					2012/13			2013/14				
	Ref	Description	What is Good Performance ?	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Year Outturn	Q1	Q2	Q3	Quarterly Target	Notes for Q3 2013/14
				Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	
		overall service you have			•					35% good		13.5%				
		received?								6% fair		good				
										0% poor		2.5% fair				
												0% poor				
										60%		82%				
	PW0	Planned Works: How	Higher is							excellent		excellent			No target	
?	2	would you rate the work	better	Ne	w Indicato	r from Q4 20	12/13			35% good		15% good	To follow	To follow	set.	
		that was carried out?	Dellei							5% fair		3% fair			ુ કહા.	
										0% poor		0% poor				

Performance Indicator Action Plan										
PI Ref:	PI Description:	Reporting Perio	d:							
LH01b	Total current tenants rent arrears as a percentage of the total	Q3 2013/14 (October – December 2013)								
	estimated gross debit									
Lead Officer:		Target Value:	Current Value:							
Phil Giles		1.1%	1.32%							

Reasons for Failure to meet Target:

The Q3 result was higher than the corresponding period in the previous year, primarily because the month of December was a five-week month and the majority of Direct Debits for that month were not paid to the Council until the beginning of the next accounting period, although the rents due were shown in the December period.

Proposed Remedial Action:

The rent arrears situation is closely monitored and although changes to welfare reforms have had a detrimental affect on collection rates, the results for Q4 (January – March 2014) are showing an improvement on the corresponding period in the previous year.

Prospects for Improvement:

Very good. The target is expected to be met in the Q4 2013/14 period.

Anticipated Completion Date:

Q4 2013/14 - see comment above.

Any additional comments:

(None)